UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,870	11/24/2003	Craig L. Reding	03-1020	5161

32127	7590	10/01/2007	

VERIZON
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP
1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 500
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909

EXAMINER
ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2614	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@VERIZON.COM

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **3** MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *13 July 2007*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-11,13-22, 24-35 and 37-47* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-11,13-22,24-35 and 37-47* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *24 November 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date *07/29/2007*.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   Applicant's amendment filed on July 13, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 13,

18, 24-27, 35, 37, 46, and 47 have been amended. Claims 12, 23, 36, and 48 have

been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-11, 13-22, 24-35, and 37-47

are now pending in this application, with claims 1, 18, 27, 46, and 47 being

independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2.   The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

3.   Claims 1-11, 13-22, 24-35, and 37-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as

being anticipated by Brown et al. (US Patent Application, Pub. No.: US 2003/0112928

A1).

4.   In regards to claims 1, 18, 46, and 47, Brown discloses a method and computer-

readable medium for logging calls (See pg. 1, paragraph [0024]) comprising: receiving

instructions that specify filter settings (for example, the filter settings may simply be the

call context) for logging outgoing calls (See pg. 6, paragraph [0090]; pg. 8, paragraph

[0132]; pg. 9, paragraph [0135]; pg. 9, paragraph [0138]; and pg. 9, paragraph [0141]);

wherein the instructions include instructions for building an exclusion table (See Fig. 4

and logging preferences directory 70) that indicates at least one outgoing call to exclude

from a call log (for example, a user may choose to only log calls with a business subject context, and if the call is not a business call, the call context will not be logged, therefore, the logging preferences, which contain the context criteria, is used to select which calls to log) (See pg. 10, paragraph [0155] – [0156]); obtaining outgoing call information (e.g., identity of the callee) associated with an outgoing call originating from a calling device (e.g., caller) and destined to a called device (e.g., callee) (See pg. 7, paragraph [0104]); determining whether or not to log the outgoing call information based on the filter settings; and storing the outgoing call information in a call log in response to a determination to log the outgoing call information (See pg. 13, paragraph [0191] – [0192]).

5.   In regards to claim 2, Brown discloses the method, further comprising: providing a user with access to the call log (See pg. 6, paragraph [0094] and pg. 13, paragraph [0198]).

6.   In regards to claims 3 and 19, Brown discloses the method, wherein obtaining outgoing call information further comprises obtaining information associated with a user of the calling device and a user of the called device (See pg. 2, paragraph [0039] and pg. 7, paragraph [0104]).

7.   In regards to claim 4, Brown discloses the method, wherein obtaining information associated with a user of the calling device and a user of the called device includes obtaining a calling party number and a dialed telephone number, respectively (See pg. 2, paragraph [0039] and pg. 5-6, paragraph [0087] – [0088]).

8.   In regards to claims 5 and 20, Brown discloses the method, further comprising:

retrieving contact-related information associated with a user of the called device using

the outgoing call information; and adding the contact information to a contact list (See

pg. 7, paragraph [0104]).

9.   In regards to claims 6 and 43, Brown disclose the method and system, wherein

retrieving contact-related information includes retrieving at least one of a name, a home

address, a business address, and an e-mail address associated with the user of the

called device (See pg. 2, paragraph [0039]).

10.   In regards to claim 7, Brown discloses the method, wherein retrieving contact-

related information includes retrieving an image (See pg. 2, paragraph [0044]).

11.   In regards to claim 8, Brown discloses the method, wherein retrieving contact-

related information includes retrieving information included in a public record (See pg. 2,

paragraph [0039]).

12.   In regards to claims 9 and 39, Brown discloses the method and system, further

comprising: performing at least one data analysis using the call log (See pg. 13,

paragraph [0198]).

13.   In regards to claims 10 and 40, Brown discloses the method and system, wherein

performing at least one data analysis includes displaying outgoing call patterns (See pg.

6, paragraph [0094] and pg. 13, paragraph [0198]).

14.   In regards to claims 11 and 25, Brown discloses the method, wherein receiving

instructions from a user that specify filter settings includes receiving instructions that

indicate at least one outgoing call to include in the call log (See pg. 6, paragraph [0090];

pg. 8, paragraph [0132]; and pg. 9, paragraph [0135]).

15.   In regards to claims 13, 22, 24, and 37, Brown discloses the method and system,

wherein determining whether or not to log the outgoing call information includes

consulting the exclusion table (e.g., logging preferences directory 70) (See pg. 10,

paragraph [0155] – [0156]).

16.   In regards to claim 14, Brown discloses the method, where the calling device is a

landline telephone and wherein obtaining outgoing call information associated with a

call originating from a calling device and intended for a called device includes: setting a

trigger on a communication line associated with the landline telephone; querying a

service control point (See Fig. 1 and SCP 15) in response to the trigger; and initiating a

service logic program in the service control point (See pg. 4, paragraph [0066] – [0068]

and pg. 6, paragraph [0095]).

17.   In regards to claim 15, Brown discloses the method, further including:

transmitting at least a dialed telephone number to a server from the service logic

program (See pg. 4, paragraph [0067]).

18.   In regards to claims 16 and 32, Brown discloses the method and system, wherein

the calling device is a programmable device and wherein obtaining outgoing call

information associated with a call from a calling device to a called device includes:

capturing the outgoing call information by the programmable device (See pg. 5,

paragraph [0082] – [0083] and pg. 13, paragraph [0195]).

19.   In regards to claim 17, Brown discloses the method, further including:

transmitting at least a dialed telephone number to a server from the programmable

device (See pg. 12, paragraph [0172]).

20.   In regards to claim 21, Brown discloses the method, further comprising: detecting

the outgoing calls originating from each of a plurality of source communication devices

and destined to the at least one destination device, prior to obtaining the information

associated with outgoing calls (See pg. 4, paragraph [0066] – [0067]).

21.   In regards to claim 26, Brown discloses the method, wherein receiving

instructions that specify filter settings includes receiving instructions to include in the call

log information associated with outgoing calls that do not successfully reach the at least

one destination device (See pg. 6, paragraph [0094]).

22.   In regards to claims 27 and 41, Brown discloses a call log system (See pg. 1,

paragraph [0024]) comprising: a first network (See Fig. 1 and PSTN 10) providing

telephony services (See pg. 4, paragraph [0066]); a calling device input port (See Fig. 1,

central office switches 11a-11n, and telephony devices 8a-8n), coupled to the first

network, configured to interface a user with the first network (See Fig. 1); a second

network (See Fig. 1 and network/Internet/Intranet 20) for facilitating data transfer (See

pg. 3, paragraph [0053]); a service center (See Fig. 1 and call center 16a-16n) coupled

to the first network and the second network, the service center comprising: a first

application function for generating a call log according to user-specified preferences

(e.g., logging preferences or caller/user preferences) (See pg. 2, paragraph [0044] and

pg. 8, paragraph [0132]), the call log including information associated with telephone

calls originating from the calling device, wherein the first application function includes program code for building an exclusion table (See Fig. 4 and logging preferences directory 70), the exclusion table indicating the user-specified preferences (See pg. 10, paragraph [0155] – [0156]), and a storage function for storing the call log; and a user terminal input port, coupled to the second network and configured to interact with the server, for enabling the user to specify the preferences and access the call log (See pg. 6, paragraph [0094]; pg. 12, paragraph [0179]; and pg. 13, paragraph [0198]).

23.   In regards to claim 28, Brown discloses the system, wherein the first network is a Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) (See Fig. 1 and PSTN 10).

24.   In regards to claim 29, Brown discloses the system, wherein the first network is operable to perform Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) (See pg. 4, paragraph [0063]).

25.   In regards to claim 30, Brown discloses the system, wherein the first network includes a Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) and wherein the calling device input port is an interface receiving information from a landline telephone (See pg. 4, paragraph [0066] – [0067]).

26.   In regards to claim 31, Brown discloses the system, wherein the information associated with the telephone calls includes a dialed telephone number and wherein a service control point coupled to the PSTN transmits the dialed telephone number to the server (See pg. 4, paragraph [0067] – [0067]).

27.   In regards to claim 33, Brown discloses the system, wherein the information associated with the telephone calls includes at least a dialed telephone number and

wherein the telephone number is received by the service center (See pg. 5, paragraph [0085]).

28.   In regards to claims 34 and 42, Brown discloses the system, wherein the second network includes at least one of a wide area network (WAN), a local are network (LAN), an Intranet, and the Internet (See pg. 3, paragraph [0053]).

29.   In regards to claim 35, Brown discloses the system, wherein the fist [first] application generates a call log according to user-specified preferences that specify at least one criteria for logging the calls originating from the calling device (See pg. 6, paragraph [0094] and pg. 12, paragraph [0179]).

30.   In regards to claims 38 and 44, Brown discloses the system, wherein the storage function includes at least one database (See Fig. 1, SCP 15 and Fig. 6, context database 124) (See pg. 4, paragraph [0068] and pg. 12, paragraph [0176]).

31.   In regards to claim 45, Brown discloses the system, wherein the user terminal is one of a general purpose computer, a personal computer, a wireless device, a pager, a mobile phone having data access functions, and a Personal Digital Assistants (PDA) (See pg. 5, paragraph [0082]).

Response to Arguments

32.   Applicant's arguments filed 07/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

33.   Applicants argue that Brown et al. do not disclose or suggest receiving instructions that specify filter settings for logging outgoing calls, wherein the instructions

includes instructions for building an exclusion table that indicates at least one outgoing

call to exclude from a call log, and that the previously cited portion of Brown et al,

discloses logging calls based on user specified preferences, and specifying which calls

to include in a log, but does not specify which calls to exclude from the log. Applicants

further argue that Brown et al. do not disclose or suggest a first application function for

generating a call log according to user-specified preferences, the call log including

information associated with telephone calls originating from the calling device, wherein

the first application function includes program code for building an exclusion table, the

exclusion table indicating the user-specified preferences, and that the previously cited

portion of Brown et al, discloses specifying which calls to includes in a log, but does not

specify which calls to exclude from the log.

34.   In response to the argument concerning Brown et al. not disclosing or suggesting

receiving instructions that specify filter settings for logging outgoing calls, wherein the

instructions includes instructions for building an exclusion table that indicates at least

one outgoing call to exclude from a call log, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Brown et

al. do disclose and suggest receiving instructions that specify filter settings (for

example, the filter settings may simply be the call context) for logging outgoing calls

(See pg. 6, paragraph [0090]; pg. 8, paragraph [0132]; pg. 9, paragraph [0135]; pg. 9,

paragraph [0138]; and pg. 9, paragraph [0141]), wherein the instructions includes

instructions for building an exclusion table (e.g., logging preferences directory 70) that

indicates at least one outgoing call to exclude from a call log (for example, a user may

choose to only log calls with a business subject context, and if the call is not a business

call, the call context will not be logged, therefore, the logging preferences, which contain

the context criteria, is used to select which calls to log) (See pg. 10, paragraph [0155] –

[0156]). The context criteria within the logging preferences, is used to decide which

calls to log. As stated above, if a user's preferences indicate to only log business calls,

and a call is not a business call, then that particular call will not be logged. Therefore,

Brown et al. do specify which calls to exclude from the log. Furthermore, the caller

preferences may indicate a call to be blocked from logging (See pg. 10, paragraph

[0156]).

35.   In response to the argument concerning Brown et al. not disclosing or suggesting

a first application function for generating a call log according to user-specified

preferences, the call log including information associated with telephone calls

originating from the calling device, wherein the first application function includes

program code for building an exclusion table, the exclusion table indicating the user-

specified preferences, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Brown et al. do disclose and

suggest a first application function for generating a call log according to user-specified

preferences (e.g., logging preferences or caller/user preferences) (See pg. 2, paragraph

[0044] and pg. 8, paragraph [0132]), the call log including information associated with

telephone calls originating from the calling device, wherein the first application function

includes program code for building an exclusion table (e.g., logging preferences

directory 70), the exclusion table indicating the user-specified preferences (See pg. 10,

paragraph [0155] – [0156]). The context criteria within the logging preferences, is used

to decide which calls to log. As stated above, if a user's preferences indicate to only log

business calls, and a call is not a business call, then that particular call will not be logged. Therefore, Brown et al. do specify which calls to exclude from the log. Furthermore, the caller preferences may indicate a call to be blocked from logging (See pg. 10, paragraph [0156]).

Conclusion

36.　**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

37.　A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

38.　Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan K. Addy whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

39.　If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

40.   Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thjuan K. Addy
Patent Examiner
AU 2614